

DIP L3/L4 Validation requirements – DIP Manager Guidance Note

Data Integration Platform (DIP) Manager

| | | | |
|----------------|--|------------------|--------------------|
| Owner/author | DIP Manager | Purpose of paper | Information |
| Classification | Public | Document version | 1.1 |
| Date | 4 February 2026 | | |
| Summary | Guidance on formation of L3/L4 validation responses | | |

Introduction

This file note supplements the details of L3/L4 validation described in the Elexon DIP Rules specifically DS002 Annex 2 – Detailed DIP Operational Requirements ([DIP Rules - DSD002 Annex 2](#)). The aim of the file note is to provide guidance on the use of Response codes in L3/L4 validation patterns, previously described in the MHHS-DES138-Interface Catalogue, which is missing from code documents.

The issue of L3/L4 validation and the ownership of the different response codes (RCP codes) was discussed at the MHHS Expert Group. One of the recommendations coming out of the meeting was that the DIP Rules would be the appropriate place to capture the exchange of L3/L4 validation messages and the corresponding response codes. To this end, DCR0014 will be raised by the DIP Manager to ensure the update to the DIP Rules follows the appropriate governance process via DCAB.

This guidance note does NOT cover how any issues that may have been communicated via a status message arising from L3/L4 validation should be resolved, it solely deals with the technical requirements around L3/L4 validation and the different RCP codes. The issue of the method of Participant-to-Participant message resolution, and how that need to be undertaken, is a recognised issue and will be reviewed by the industry code bodies.

Version 1.1 adds a reminder regarding the correct setting of Sender and Recipient Ids within DIP messages.

Requirements

The requirements for Participant L3/L4 validation are taken from the End-to-End requirements and form part of your PIT evidence. All the requirements are rated **MUST** and required for qualification:

| | | | |
|---------|-------------------|---|--|
| E2E0201 | Schema validation | M | Services shall undertake Level 3 message validation including structure, and the format of individual data fields/elements shall be performed against the appropriate message schema definition of all interface interactions. |
|---------|-------------------|---|--|

| | | | |
|---------|-----------------|---|--|
| E2E0207 | Message Receipt | M | When sending messages all services shall use the http response of the API transaction to establish whether a message has been received by the DIP |
| E2E0203 | Error Reporting | M | When Level 3 validation fails, e.g. when payload contents cannot be reconciled (schema validation), a response with the corresponding error code shall be reported back to the DIP via the http response in the webhook callback |

In addition, there are requirements around the performance requirements around the timing for L3 and L4 validations:

| | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|
| E2E1003 | Level 3 Processing Times (synchronous) | M | <p>All Services shall provide an initial synchronous response (Level 3 validation) to a message within the following timeframes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - up to average hourly volume, mean response time of 2s or less - up to average hourly volume, 90th percentile response time of 4s or less - from average hourly to peak hourly volume, mean response time of 5s or less - from average hourly to peak hourly volume, 90th percentile response time of 8s or less |
| E2E0209 | Level 4 Processing Times (Asynchronous) | M | <p>All Services with the exception of Helix (VAS,MDS,LSS,ISD) and LDSO Services shall provide an asynchronous response (Level 4 validation) to a message within the following timeframes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - up to average hourly volume, mean response time of 6s or less - up to average hourly volume, 90th percentile response time of 12s or less - from average hourly to peak hourly volume, mean response time of 10s or less - from average hourly to peak hourly volume, 90th percentile response time of 16s or less <p>Helix Services (VAS,MDS,LSS,ISD) shall provide an Asynchronous response time of 10 minutes or less.</p> <p>All LDSO (DNO and iDNOs) roles, namely Registration Services, UMSO & LDSO shall provide an asynchronous response (Level 4 validation) to a message within the following timeframes - 60 minutes or less (within normal operating hours) in accordance with the MHHSP_OPC001_Operational_Choreography</p> |

Guidance

1. Level 3 - Synchronous Webhook Response

1.1 Issues have arisen with Level 3 validation on the Production system since M10.

1.2 Webhook Time-Outs

1.2.1 During busy periods, particularly during the Secure Active Window, participant webhooks have been timing out and are failing to reach the NFRs (E2E1003 – see above) in terms of response times. When attempting to communicate with a Participant webhook, the DIP will time-out the transaction after 10 secs if no response is received. This has meant that the DIP is having to resend messages, and more than often than not, these messages have already been received and hence getting a duplicate SUR with the second message.

Participants need to scale their systems to cope with the increased load during busy periods.

1.3 Incorrect Response Codes and messages

1.3.1 Participant systems are not responding with the HTTP response codes as defined in the DIP swagger – see appendix 1.

1.3.2 E.g. Incorrect response codes 207 instead of 400 for duplicate Sender Unique Reference have been used.

1.3.3 It has been noted that these codes are not currently in the DIP rules – as part of DCR0014 this omission will be fixed.

Participants need to return the correct HTTP response codes in webhook responses.

2. Level 4 – Asynchronous Responses

2.1 Participants have not been following the guidance for the formatting of the Status Messages being sent due to L4 validation and this consequentially has an impact on downstream systems as they are unable to interpret the messages sent. See section 3 below on Status Message Guidance.

Participants need to return a fully formed Status Message.

2.2 Also, Participants need to remember that they have an obligation to undertake the validation checks on each message channel. Validation can either take place at either Level 3 or Level 4; however, all checks **MUST** be undertaken. The expectation is that these will be a mixture of L3 and L4 as it is very unlikely, especially if your architecture contains a DIP adaptor, that all checks can be undertaken at the adaptor level. Table 1 in appendix 1 below outlines the L3/L4 checks that need to be undertaken

Participants need to perform all validation checks either at L3 or L4.

3. Status Message Guidance

3.1 The guidance for populating Status Messages is described MHHS-E2E001 End-to-End Solution Architecture document. The Status Message is either sent in the response as L3, or as a Status Message for L4, the format is the same for both:

| Field | Description | Mandatory/Optional |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Transaction Id | Transaction Id of the original message the DIP | M |
| Sender Unique Reference | Sender Unique Reference of the original message | O |
| Correlation Id | Correlation Id of the original messages (where available) | O |
| Sent Timestamp | Sent timestamp of the Status Message | M |
| Sender Id | Logical Sender of the Status message | M |

| Field | Description | Mandatory/ Optional |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Recipient Id | Recipient of the Status message, which will in most cases be the Sender of the original message. If the message is directed at the DIP then DIP Id '0000000000' should be used | M |
| DIP Connection Provider Id | Physical sender of message (where different to Sender id) | O |
| Message | Information regarding subject of message | M |
| Service Ticket URL | URL to create/view appropriate service ticket – not implemented at present | N/A |
| Help | Help text | O |

3.2 The following fields need to be included as a **minimum** when sending back an L4 response. Without these fields messages cannot be correctly identified against the correct message and relayed to the relevant party:

- i Transaction Id
- ii Sender Unique Reference
- iii Sender Id
- iv Recipient Id
- v Message

3.3 The “Message” text is taken from the messages that were defined in the MHHSP- DES138 Interface Catalogue and included in Table 1 of Appendix 1.

3.4 The “Message” is a concatenation of both the Error Code and the Generic Text taken the from the message list in Table 1, e.g. “REG0000 - MPAN Invalid or does not exist”.

3.5 When the “Message” is formed, the guidance is that the Error Code and the text need to be separated by a space – hyphen – space, i.e. “ – “.

3.6 The “Help” text is freeform and should convey other information about the error condition, e.g. specific MPAN details.

3.7 **Reminder – the Sender Id reported in the Status Message needs to be set to DIP Id of the sender of the Status Message and NOT the sender of the original message that the status message is in response to. Similarly, the Recipient Id needs to be set to the Recipient of the Status Message and NOT the recipient of the original message. Any message, sent/received to/from the DIP, the context of the Sender/Recipient roles within the message is ALWAYS within the context of the specific message be it an IF-xxx or a Status message.**

Appendix 1. MHHS Response Codes

Table 1 below details all the Response Codes that are within the current scope of L3/L4 validation. The Mandatory/Conditional (M/C) column denotes whether the check is mandatory or conditional. Some of the codes are marked as conditional because the checks referenced can be accomplished within swagger validation and therefore would not need to be explicitly checked as this check have already been undertaken.

| M/C Column | |
|------------|--|
| M | Mandatory |
| C | Conditional, the check could be covered by a schema validation check |
| C* | Conditional, the check could be covered by a new schema validation check to add a regex expression |
| M** | Mandatory, but dependent on back-office architecture |
| Rem | Remove |

As part of DCAB CR0014 both tables 1 & 2 will be incorporated into the DIP rules.

Table 1 – List of Level 3/4 Response Codes

| MHHS Response Code | Message | Description | Usage Guidance | M/C |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-----|
| RCP0000 | Message Success | | Used in response body of a 201 L3 response | M |
| RCP1000 | Message Processing Failed | Generic / Catch All | Used when unspecified error trapped | M** |
| RCP1001 | Schema Failure | Message is malformed and failed to complete schema Validation | Validation failure against the swagger. | M |

| | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|-----|
| RCP1002 | Interface ID Invalid | Interface ID (..CommonBlock.S0.interfaceID) provided in the message is not valid / recognised | Would expect this to be captured by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | C |
| RCP1003 | Event Code Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Event Code (..CommonBlock.S0.eventCode) provided in the message is not valid or does not link to IF ID provided | Would expect this to be captured by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | C |
| RCP1004 | Environment Code Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Environment code (..CommonBlock.S1.environmentTag) contained in the message does not match the environment the message was presented to | Only the list of valid enumerations is presented in the Swagger hence Participants need to undertake the check. They can edit the swagger to set the enumeration to a single value hence it could be trapped by a generic schema failure | C |
| RCP1005 | Schema Version Invalid or Not Compatible | Schema version (..CommonBlock.S0.schemaVersion) provided in the message is not compatible with the current schema in use | Again, would expect this to be captured by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | C |
| RCP1006 | Sender Unique Reference Missing or Duplicated | Sender Unique Reference (..CommonBlock.S1.senderUniqueReference) is not populated or has already be processed by the DIP for that Participant ID | DIP Manager backlog to add regex pattern to Sender Unique Reference to implement | M* |
| RCP1007 | Sender Sent Date/Time Invalid or Missing | Sent Date/Time (..CommonBlock.S1.senderTimestamp) provided in the message is not a valid format or not populated | Would expect this to be captured by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | C |
| RCP1008 | Sender Sent Date/Time is in the Future | Sent Date/Time (..CommonBlock.S1.senderTimestamp) provided in the message is in future | Not checked by DIP | M |
| RCP1009 | Sender DIP ID Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Sender ID (..CommonBlock.S1.senderRoleID) is Invalid or Sender is not approved to send the message type stated | Missing value also be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001). Unexpected or invalid would be an incorrect value hence not a schema failure | C |
| RCP1010 | Sender Role Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Sender Role (..CommonBlock.S1.senderRoleID) provided is invalid or Role does not apply for Sender ID | Check not undertaken in Swagger (however it could be added) | M |
| | | | | |
| RCP1021 | Msg Mandatory Data Item Missing | | Missing value also be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001). | C |
| RCP1022 | Msg Contains Invalid Value for Value Restricted Field | Value of data item provided is not in the list of valid values/ISD for that data item | Enumerations exist in swagger hence these can be trapped via a RCP1001, however those defined external to the swagger, e.g. ISD. | M** |
| RCP1023 | Msg Contains Invalid Valid Value Combination(s) | Can also be used for instances where Date/Time fields are not populated/formatted per the design guidance. | Would expect this type of error to be trapped via a schema failure RCP1001 | C |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|-----|
| RCP1041 | Recipient ID Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Recipient ID (..CommonBlock.S1.senderRoleID) is Invalid or Sender is not approved to send the message type stated | Missing value also be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001). Unexpected or invalid would be an incorrect value hence not a schema failure | Rem |
| RCP1042 | Publication ID Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Schema version (..CommonBlock.D0.publicationID) provided in the message is not a valid format or not populated | Missing value also be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001). Unexpected or invalid would be an incorrect value hence not a schema failure. Not sure what unexpected is. | C |
| RCP1043 | DIP Txn ID Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Schema version (..CommonBlock.D0.transactionID) provided in the message is not a valid format or not populated | Missing value also be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001). Invalid value - DIP Manager backlog to add regex pattern | C* |
| RCP1044 | DIP Txn Timestamp Invalid or Missing | Schema version (..CommonBlock.D0.transactionTimestamp) provided in the message is not a valid format or not populated | Invalid/missing value could also be trapped by RCP1001. | C |
| RCP1045 | DIP Txn Timestamp is in the Future | Schema version (..CommonBlock.D0.transactionTimestamp) provided in the message is in the future | Condition not trapped by DIP | M |
| RCP1046 | DIP Correlation ID Invalid, Unexpected or Missing | Schema version (..CommonBlock.D0.correlationID) provided in the message is not a valid format or not populated | If a correlation ID was unexpected then would be ignored. Invalid value - DIP Manager backlog to add regex pattern | C* |
| RCP1047 | Replay Indicator Invalid or Unexpected | - | Unsure under what conditions this would be trapped. Invalid value would be trapped by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | Rem |
| | | | | |
| RCP1051 | R0 Response Code Invalid | - | Unsure how this is used, swagger only Accept or Reject. Would be covered by generic schema failure (RCP1001) | Rem |
| RCP1052 | R0 Response Code Inconsistent with Error Condition(s) | Response Code is 'Accepted' but error conditions also provided, Response code is 'Rejected' but no error conditions exist | | M |
| RCP1061 | MPAN Invalid or Unknown | This error message should be issued by the recipient in any circumstances where the MPAN is unknown or has a status (Migrated/Reverse Migrated) at which the IF type is not expected. | | M |
| RCP1062 | PUB Unexpected given MPAN Process Status/Condition | Unexpected message within context of business process | | M |
| RCP1063 | Message Data Content Differs from that Issued or Expected | Used to allow Registration Service to reject IF-034 containing inconsistent data from that issued in PUB-033 | | M |

| | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| RCP1064 | MDR provided not valid | Used to allow Registration Service to reject IF-034 containing invalid MDR | | M |
| RCP9999 | Message Signature Invalid | Signature provided in message is invalid | Can be reported by a 403 response | C |

If the check is accomplished at L3 then the HTTP response code comes into scope (Table 2), only the RCP0000 is associated with a 201 (success), all other codes are seen as errors. All codes can be either L3 or L4 (except RCP0000 as success at L4 is not published). The choice of L3 or L4 is at the discretion of the system implementor.

Level 3 Validation

The callback response contains the outcome of the Level 3 validation undertaken by the recipient, the return code the result of the overall transaction and the response body details of the individual messages/events.

Each connection will result in a HTTP return code that will indicate the success or otherwise of the complete transaction. The list of response codes is also available in the swagger definition. The DIP retry and retry behaviour columns presents the pattern of behaviour that the DIP will undertake in the event of an error code.

The current DIP retry behaviour is under review between DIP Manager and Avanade.

Table 2

| DIP Egress; i.e. webhook ("Level 3" validation) | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| Code | Messages | DIP Retry | Reason | Action | Retry Behaviour | Notify Sender via a status Message |
| 2xx | Successful | | | | | |
| 201 | Messages Created | | Messages successfully received by Recipient and passed L3 validation. | | | |
| 207 | Some Messages Created | No | Some messages successfully received by Recipient and passed L3 validation. | The DIP will automatically send status messages for those messages failing validation | | Yes; those messages failing validation |
| 2xx | Other 200 messages | | Participant systems should only send 201 or 207 messages | | | |

| 4xx Client Errors | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----|---|--|---|-----|
| 400 | Bad Request | no | Malformed messages or HTTP Header content. | The DIP will automatically send status messages for those messages failing validation | | Yes |
| 401 | Unauthorised Error | no | Issues related to Message Signing Certificates, Header problems or Account Issue (this includes any errors related to the X-API Key). | Ensure certificate validity; check cert has not expired. If problem persists contact DIP 1st line support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | No |
| 403 | Forbidden | no | Issues related to TLS Certificates (including authentication failures), alongside other general 403 related issues i.e., could be IP blocking | Contact DIP 1st line support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | No |
| 404 | Not Found | no | Resource not found | Resource could be temporarily unavailable, hence assume a periodic retry. If problem persists contact DIP 1st line support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | No |
| 405 | Method Not Allowed | no | Requested method unsupported | Assume significant issue with participant system. Contact DIP 1st line support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | Yes |
| 406 | Not Acceptable | no | Requested method unsupported | Assume significant issue with participant system. Contact DIP 1st line support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | Yes |
| 408 | Request Timeout | yes | System timeout waiting for resource | | The DIP will adopt a retry with an exponential back-off whilst attempts to rectify the issue are made | No |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|--|--|---|----|
| 413 | Payload Too Large | no | Request is too large for firewall/gateway | Participant can reduce size of webhook callback via API/portal. If still unsuccessful contact 1st line DIP support | If participant believes issue is fixed then request messages to be resent via DIP replay | No |
| 429 | Too Many Requests | yes | Rate limiting in force. | Assumption is that the participant system has implemented some rate limiting on their gateway | The DIP will adopt a retry with an exponential back-off | No |
| 4xx | Other 400 messages | | The DIP is not expecting to receive any other 400 message | Contact DIP 1st line support | | |
| 5xx Server Errors | | | | | | |
| 500 | Internal Server Error | yes | The DIP is aware that it has encountered an error with the Participant system. | Contact DIP 1st line support | The DIP will adopt a retry with an exponential back-off whilst attempts to rectify the issue are made | No |
| 502 | Bad Gateway | yes | | | | |
| 503 | Service Unavailable | yes | | | | |
| 504 | Gateway Timeout | yes | | | | |
| 505 | HTTP Version Not Supported | no | Contact support | | | |
| 5xx | Other 500 messages | | The DIP is not expecting to receive any other 500 message | | | |

Table 2 has been lifted from the MHHS-E2E001- End -to End Solution Architecture v3.8.

Response Body

The response uses the common Standard Response body (the same is used for the Status Message)

| Field | Description |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Transaction Id | Unique DIP transaction Id |
| Sent timestamp | DIP Receipt timestamp |
| Sender Unique Reference | The original Sender Unique Reference |
| Sender ID | The Sender of <i>this</i> message, i.e. the recipient of the incoming message. |
| Correlation Id | Correlation ID relayed back (optional) |
| Recipient Id | Recipient of the message, i.e. Recipient of this message most likely to be the Sender of the corresponding incoming message. If a DIP issue, then the recipient is the DIP and use DIP Id '0000000000' |
| DCP Id | DCP (optional – used if the Sender uses a DCP) |
| Message | Information on the message status |
| Help | Extra help information |
| Service Ticket URL | Not implemented |

Where a field is not written/available/optional, then a null value needs to be written.

3. Response Body Examples

The response body of the HTTP call will deliver a response back to DIP indicating the success or otherwise of processing each message received. In the first example below, one messages is successfully received: the first accepted and the second rejected:

Accepted message response:

HTTP/1.1 201 Messages Created

```
Content-Type: application/recieveEventCallback+json
{ "recieveEventCallback": { "version": "1.0" },
{
  "messageArray": [
    {
      "transactionID": "T-IF-004-2399990036-SUP-20251016-667B277A6C95B000",
      "senderUniqueReference": "S-IF-004-2399990036-SUP-20251009-123456811",
      "correlationID": null,
      "sentTimestamp": "2025-10-09T14:43:45+00:00",
```

```
    "senderID": "2399990036",
    "recipientID": "0000000000",
    "DIPConnectionProviderID": null,
    "message": "RCP0000 - Success",
    "help": null,
    "serviceTicketURL": null
  }
],
"timestamp": "2025-10-16T10:43:16+00:00"
}
```

Rejected message response:

HTTP/1.1 400 Bad Request

Content-Type: application/recieveEventCallback+json

```
{ "recieveEventCallback": { "version": "1.0" },
{
  "messageArray": [
    {
      "transactionId": "T-IF-005-1234567890-SUPP-20220313-1234CC",
      "senderUniqueReference": "S-IF-005-1234567890-SUP-20220313-12345687a1234567a",
      "correlationID": "CI-20220313-1234567890123abce123092",
      "sentTimestamp": "2022-03-13T19:05:00+00:00",
      "senderId": "1009012345",
      "recipientId": "1009012346",
      "DIPConnectionProviderId": null,
      "message": "RCP1008 - Sender Sent Date/Time is in the Future"
      "help": "Sender date/time is beyond the current date/time",
      "serviceTicketURL": null
    }
  ],
  "timestamp": "2022-03-13T19:05:05+00:00"
}
```

For further information please contact the DIP Manager at DIPManager@Elexon.co.uk